

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/653,555	09/01/2000	William O. Mattick	P_3009.002 Ames	P_3009.002 Ames 5462	
23399	7590 07/14/2005		EXAMINER		
REISING, ETHINGTON, BARNES, KISSELLE, P.C.			SMITH, JEFFREY A		
P O BOX 439 TROY, MI	_		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			3625		

DATE MAILED: 07/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/653,555	MATTICK ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Jeffrey A. Smith	3625		

Advisory Action	09/653,555	MATTICK ET AL.					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Jeffrey A. Smith	3625 ·					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addi	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>30 June 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP		-					
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no							
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL							
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must l 	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))	, to avoid dismissal o	f the appeal.				
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	nsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	121. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	,						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 	illowable if submitted in a separate,	, timely filed amendm	ent canceling				
For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>15-57</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			·				
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet. 	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	Mygl					
		Att Unit: 3625					

Continuation of 3. NOTE: There is no teaching or enablement for storing the various data in at least one database.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's proposed recitations of storing various data in at least one database, although a specific recitation of the application of "technology", does not amount to a non-trivial application of "technology". The recitations effectively result in the placement of data into a memory. Such activity is regarded as a "trivial" application of "technology" which does not serve to toll the statute in view of the "two-prong test" applied in the final Office action mailed 6/15/05.